

LOCALIZATION, HURWITZ NUMBERS AND THE WITTEN CONJECTURE

LIN CHEN, YI LI, AND KEFENG LIU

ABSTRACT. In this note, we use the method of [3] to give a simple proof of famous Witten conjecture. Combining the coefficients derived in our note and this method, we can derive more recursion formulas of Hodge integrals.

1. INTRODUCTION

The well-known Witten conjecture states that the intersection theory of the ψ classes on the moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces is equivalent to the "Hermitian matrix model" of two-dimensional gravity. All ψ -integrals can be efficiently computed by using the Witten conjecture, first proved by Kontsevich [6]. For convenience, we use Witten's notation

$$(1.1) \quad \langle \tau_{\beta_1} \cdots \tau_{\beta_n} \rangle_g := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \psi_n^{\beta_n}.$$

The natural generating function for the ψ -integrals described above is

$$(1.2) \quad F_g(t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0} t_{k_1} \cdots t_{k_n} \langle \tau_{\beta_1} \cdots \tau_{\beta_n} \rangle_g, \quad F(t, \lambda) := \sum_{g \geq 0} F_g \lambda^{2g-2}.$$

For example, the first system of differential equations conjectured by Witten are the KDV equations [14]. Let $F(t) := F(t, 1)$, define

$$(1.3) \quad \langle \langle \tau_{\beta_1} \cdots \tau_{\beta_n} \rangle \rangle := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k_n}} F(t),$$

then the KDV equations for $F(t)$ are equivalent to a sequence of recursive relations for $n \geq 1$:

$$(1.4) \quad (2n+1) \langle \langle \tau_n \tau_0^2 \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \tau_{n-1} \tau_0 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \tau_0^3 \rangle \rangle + 2 \langle \langle \tau_{n-1} \tau_0^2 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \tau_0^2 \rangle \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \langle \tau_{n-1} \tau_0^4 \rangle \rangle.$$

In [5] the author gives a simple proof of the Witten conjecture by first proving a recursion formula conjectured by Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde in [1], and as corollary they were able to give a simple proof of the Witten conjecture by using asymptotic analysis. In this note, we use the method in [3] to prove this recursion formula in [1], therefore the Witten conjecture without using the asymptotic analysis. Combining the coefficients derived in our note and the approach in [3], we can derive more recursion formulas of Hodge integrals.

2. LOCALIZATION AND THE HURWITZ NUMBERS

Let $\mu : \mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{l(\mu)} > 0$ be a partition of $d > 0$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)$, the virtual dimension $r = 2g - 2 + d + l(\mu)$, be the moduli space of relative stable morphism to $\mathbb{P}^1$. We refer the reader to [10] for the details in this subsection. Consider the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on $\mathbb{P}^1$

$$t \cdot [z^0 : z^1] = [tz^0 : z^1],$$

then it induces an action on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)$. There is a branching morphism

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Br} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r,$$

with this action, the branching morphism is $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant. The Hurwitz numbers can be defined by

$$(2.2) \quad H_{g,\mu} := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)]^{\text{virt}}} \text{Br}^* H^r$$

with $H \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^r; \mathbb{Z})$ the hyperplane class.

2.1. Localization and Hurwitz Numbers. From the localization formula in [8, 10], we have

$$(2.3) \quad H_{g,\mu} = (-1)^k k! \tilde{I}_{g,\mu}^k,$$

where $\tilde{I}_{g,\mu}^k$ are the contribution of graphs of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)$. The $k = 0$ case implies the well-known ELSV formula [2]:

$$(2.4) \quad H_{g,\mu} = \frac{r!}{|\text{Aut}(\mu)|} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \frac{\mu_i^{\mu_i}}{\mu_i!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,l(\mu)}} \frac{\Lambda_g^\vee(1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (1 - \mu_i \psi_i)},$$

where $\Lambda_g^\vee(1) = 1 - \lambda_1 + \cdots + (-1)^g \lambda_g$. For $k = 1$, we derive the cut-and-join equation

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{g,\mu} &= \sum_{\nu \in J(\mu)} I_1(\nu) H_{g,\nu} + \sum_{\nu \in C(\mu)} I_2(\nu) H_{g-1,\nu} \\ &+ \sum_{g_1+g_2=g} \sum_{\nu^1 \cup \nu^2 \in C(\mu)} \binom{r-1}{2g_1-2+|\nu^1|+l(\nu^1)} I_3(\nu^1, \nu^2) H_{g_1,\nu^1} H_{g_2,\nu^2}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Notations. In this subsection, we explain some notations appeared in the above subsection. Let $\mu : \mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n > 0$, and for each positive integer i ,

$$(2.6) \quad m_i(\mu) = |\{j | \mu_j = i\}|.$$

First, we recall the definitions of J_μ and C_μ (see [3])

$$\begin{aligned} J^{i,j}(\mu) &= \{(\mu_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_i, \dots, \hat{\mu}_j, \dots, \mu_n, \mu_i + \mu_j)\}, \quad J(\mu) = \cup_{i=1}^n \cup_{j=i+1}^n J^{i,j}(\mu); \\ C^{i,p}(\mu) &= \{(\mu_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_i, \dots, \mu_n, p, \mu_i - p)\}, \quad C^i(\mu) = \cup_{p=1}^{\mu_i-1} C^{i,p}(\mu), \quad C(\mu) = \cup_{i=1}^n C^i(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

If $\nu \in J^{i,j}(\mu)$, we rewrite $\nu := \mu^{i,j}$ and then the $I_1(\nu)$ is given by

$$(2.7) \quad I_1(\nu) = \frac{\mu_i + \mu_j}{1 + \delta_{\mu_j}^{\mu_i}} m_{\mu_i + \mu_j}(\mu^{i,j})$$

Similarly, for $\nu \in C^{i,p}(\mu)$, we can also rewrite $\nu = \mu^{i,p}$ and the $I_2(\nu)$ is defined by

$$(2.8) \quad I_2(\nu) = \frac{p(\mu_i - p)}{1 + \delta_{\mu_i - p}^p} m_p(\mu^{i,p})(m_{\mu_i - p}(\mu^{i,p}) - \delta_{\mu_i - p}^p)$$

Finally, if $\nu \in C^{i,p}(\mu)$, let $\nu^1 \cup \nu^2 = \nu$, the definition of $I_3(\nu^1, \nu^2)$ is given by

$$(2.9) \quad I_3(\nu^1, \nu^2) = \frac{p(\mu_i - p)}{1 + \delta_{\mu_i - p}^p} m_p(\nu^1) m_{\mu_i - p}(\nu^2)$$

Define the formal power series

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi(\lambda, p) = \sum_{\mu} \sum_{g \geq 0} H_{g,\mu} \frac{\lambda^{2g-2+|\mu|+l(\mu)}}{(2g-2+|\mu|+l(\mu))!} p_{\mu},$$

from [11], we have the following version of cut-and-join equation

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left(i j p_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + i j p_{i+j} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p_j} + (i+j) p_i p_j \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p_{i+j}} \right).$$

At last, we define

$$(2.12) \quad \Phi_{g,n}(z, p) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{\mu \vdash d, l(\alpha)=n} \frac{H_{g,\mu}}{r!} p_{\mu} z^d,$$

by simple calculation, we can rewrite (2.12) in the following form

$$(2.13) \quad \Phi_{g,n}(z; p) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_n \geq 0, 0 \leq k \leq g} (-1)^k \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \lambda_k \rangle_g \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_{b_i}(z; p),$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad \phi_i(z; p) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{m^{m+i}}{m!} p_m z^m, \quad i \geq 0.$$

3. SYMMETRIZATION OPERATOR AND ROOTED TREE SERIES

In this section, we use the method in [3] to prove the recursion formula which implies the Witten conjecture/Kontsevich theorem. Their method consists of the following steps: (1) introduce three operators to change the variables; (2) compare the leading coefficient of both sides to derive the recursion formula which implies the Witten conjecture. Kim-Liu [5] have proved the Witten conjecture via the asymptotic analysis which writes each $\mu_i = x_i N$ for some $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The main problem arising in [2] is the asymptotic expansion of series

$$e^{-n} \sum_{p+q=n} \frac{p^{p+k+1} q^{q+l+1}}{p! q!}, \quad e^{-n} \sum_{p+q=n} \frac{p^{p+k+1} q^{q-1}}{p! q!}$$

for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ which are not easy to compute. The idea here is that by using the method in [3], we can avoid these problems to derive the recursion formula.

3.1. Symmetrization Operator $\square_n$. First, we symmetrize $\Phi_{g,n}(z, p)$ by using the linear symmetrization operator $\square_n$

$$(3.1) \quad \square_n(p_\alpha z^{|\alpha|}) = \delta_{l(\alpha), n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} x_{\sigma(1)}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\alpha_n},$$

where S^n is the n -order symmetric group. It is easy to prove the following lemma via some elementary identities or see [3].

Lemma 3.1. *For $n, g \geq 1$ or $n \geq 3, g \geq 0$, then we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \square_n(\Phi_{g,n}(z, p))(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_n \geq 0, 0 \leq k \leq g} (-1)^k \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \lambda_k \rangle_g \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_{b_i}(x_{\sigma(i)}),$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \phi_i(x) := \phi(x; 1) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{m^{m+i}}{m!} x^m.$$

3.2. Rooted Tree Series. The authors introduce the *rooted tree series* $w(x)$ in [3] to simplify the series $\phi(x)$

$$(3.4) \quad w(x) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{m^{m-1}}{m!} x^m,$$

then

$$(3.5) \quad \phi_i(x) = \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{i+1} w(x) := \nabla_x^{i+1} w(x)$$

with $\nabla_x := x \frac{d}{dx}$. The rooted tree series is the unique formal power series solution of the functional equation (see [3])

$$(3.6) \quad w(x) = x e^{w(x)}.$$

Let $y(x) := \frac{1}{1-w(x)}$ and $y_j = y(x_j)$, we consider change of variables using the operator

$$(3.7) \quad L : \mathbb{Q}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[y_1, \dots, y_n]], \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longmapsto f(y_1, \dots, y_n).$$

Lemma 3.2. *Denote $w_j = w(x_j)$, then*

$$(3.8) \quad L \nabla_{x_j} = (y_j^2 - y_j) \nabla_{y_j} L, \quad L \nabla_{w_j} = (y_j - 1) \nabla_{y_j} L,$$

$$(3.9) \quad L(\phi_i(x_j)) = [(y_j^2 - y_j) \nabla_{y_j}]^i (y_j - 1), \quad i \geq 0.$$

Proof. Differentiating the functional equation (2.6), we obtain

$$\nabla_{x_j} = \frac{1}{1 - w_j} \nabla_{w_j}.$$

Note that $dy_j = y_j^2 dw$, then $\nabla_{w_j} = (y_j - 1) \nabla_{y_j}$ and

$$L \nabla_{x_j} = L \left(\frac{1}{1 - w_j} \nabla_{w_j} \right) = y_j (y_j - 1) \nabla_{y_j} L.$$

For the similar reason, the reader can prove the rest identities. $\square$

3.3. **The Coefficients of $L(\phi_i(x_j))$.** Let

$$(3.10) \quad F_i(y) := [(y^2 - y)\nabla_y]^i(y - 1) := \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} f(j, i)y^{2i+2-j},$$

then from (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{i+2} f(j, i+1)y^{2i+4-j} &= (2i+1)f(1, i)y^{2i+3} - if(i+1, i)y^{i+2} \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{i+1} [(2i+2-j)f(j, i) - (2i+3-j)f(j-1, i)]y^{2i+4-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of both sides equation, we have the crucial identities

$$\begin{aligned} f(1, i+1) &= (2i+1)f(1, i), \\ f(j, i+1) &= (2i+2-j)f(j, i) - (2i+3-j)f(j-1, i), \quad 2 \leq j \leq i+2 \\ f(i+1, i+1) &= -if(i+1, i). \end{aligned}$$

The initial value of the coefficients are given by

$$(3.11) \quad f(1, 1) = 1, \quad f(2, 1) = -1,$$

hence

$$(3.12) \quad f(1, i) = (2i-1)!!, \quad f(i+1, i) = (-1)^i i!.$$

Now, we only give the explicit expression of $f(2, i)$ while the similar approach can be used to derive other explicit expressions. If we write

$$(3.13) \quad a(j, i) = \frac{f(j, i)}{(2i-j)!!}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq i+1,$$

note that $a(j, 1) = -1$, we have a linear equation system

$$a(j, i+1) = a(j, i) - \frac{2i+3-j}{(2i+2-j)!!} f(j-1, i).$$

Finally, the recursion formula of $f(j, i)$ is given by

$$(3.14) \quad f(j, i) = -(2i-j)!! \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{2k+3-j}{(2k+2-j)!!} f(j-1, k) \right], \quad 2 \leq j \leq i+1, i \geq 1.$$

For $i = 2$, it turns to the explicit expression

$$(3.15) \quad f(2, i) = -(2i-2)!! \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{(2k)!!} \right].$$

Lemma 3.3. *We can rewrite $f(2, i)$ as*

$$(3.16) \quad f(2, i) = -\frac{(2i+1)!!}{3},$$

and

$$2i \cdot f(2, i) = -\frac{(2i+1)!}{2^{i-1}(i-1)!}.$$

Proof. By induction on i , we obtain

$$f(2, i+1) = -\frac{(2i)(2i+1)!! + 3(2i+3)!!}{3} = -\frac{(2i+3)!!}{3}.$$

□

4. PROOF OF THE DIJKGRAAF-VERLINDE-VERLINDE CONJECTURE

For $i, j \geq 0$, $i+j \leq n$, let $\square_{i,j}^x$ be the mapping, applied to a series in $x_1, \dots, x_n$, given by

$$(4.1) \quad \square_{i,j}^x f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}} f(x_{\mathcal{R}}, x_{\mathcal{S}}, x_{\mathcal{T}}),$$

where the summation is over all ordered partitions $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, where $\mathcal{R} = \{x_{r_1}, \dots, x_{r_i}\}$, $\mathcal{S} = \{x_{s_1}, \dots, x_{s_j}\}$, $\mathcal{T} = \{x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_{n-i-j}}\}$ and

$$(x_{\mathcal{R}}, x_{\mathcal{S}}, x_{\mathcal{T}}) = (x_{r_1}, \dots, x_{r_i}, x_{s_1}, \dots, x_{s_j}, x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_{n-i-j}}),$$

and where $r_1 < \dots < r_i$, $s_1 < \dots < s_j$, and $t_1 < \dots < t_{n-i-j}$. The following result gives an expression for the result of applying the symmetrization operator $\square_n$ to the cut-and-join equation for $\Phi_{g,n}(z, p)$. Denote $\Delta_{y_j} := (y_j^2 - y_j) \nabla_{y_j}$. Applying the symmetrization operator $\square_n$ to the join-cut Equation, [3] have proved the following version of join-and-cut equation

$$(4.2) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 1) \nabla_{y_i} + n + 2g - 2 \right) L \square_n \Phi_{g,n}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = T'_1 + T'_2 + T'_3 + T'_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T'_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta_{y_i} \Delta_{y_{n+1}} L \square_{n+1} \Phi_{g-1, n+1}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})) \big|_{y_{n+1}=y_i}, \\ T'_2 &= \sum_{1,1}^y y_1^2 \frac{y_2 - 1}{y_1 - y_2} \Delta_{y_1} L \square_{n-1} \Phi_{g, n-1}(y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n), \\ T'_3 &= \sum_{k=3}^n \sum_{1, k-1}^y (\Delta_{y_1} L \square_k \Phi_{0, k}(y_1, \dots, y_k)) (\Delta_{y_1} L \square_{n-k+1} \Phi_{g, n-k+1}(y_1, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)), \\ T'_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq a \leq g-1} \sum_{1, k-1}^y (\Delta_{y_1} L \square_k \Phi_{a, k}(y_1, \dots, y_k)) (\Delta_{y_1} L \square_{n-k+1} \Phi_{g-a, n-k+1}(y_1, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)). \end{aligned}$$

4.1. Expansions. First we have the following expansion formula

$$(4.3) \quad L \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \phi_{b_i}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (2b_i - 1)!! y_{\sigma(i)}^{2b_i+1} + \text{lower terms}.$$

From this point, we see that the polynomial $L \square_n H_n^g(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ can be written as

$$L \square_n \Phi_{g,n}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{b_1 + \dots + b_n = 3g-3+n} \langle \tau_{b_1} \dots \tau_{b_n} \rangle_g \prod_{i=1}^n (2b_i - 1)!! y_i^{2b_i+1} + \text{l.t.},$$

where l.t. means lower order terms. We write the left hand side of equation (4.2) by LHS while another side by RHS_1, RHS_2, RHS_3 and RHS_4 , then

$$\begin{aligned}
LHS &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \nabla_{y_i} \sum_{b_1+\dots+b_n=3g-3+n} [\langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \rangle_g (2b_1-1)!! \cdots (2b_n-1)!!] \prod_{l=1}^n y_l^{2b_l+1} + \text{l.t.} \\
&= \sum_{b_1+\dots+b_n=3g-3+n} [\langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \rangle_g (2b_1-1)!! \cdots (2b_n-1)!!] \sum_{i=1}^n (2b_i+1) y_i \prod_{l=1}^n y_l^{2b_l+1} + \text{l.t.} \\
RHS_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b_1+\dots+b_{n+1}=3g-5+n} [\langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_{n+1}} \rangle_{g-1} (2b_1-1)!! \cdots (2b_{n+1}-1)!!] \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \left((2b_i+1)(2b_{n+1}+1) y_i^2 y_{n+1}^2 \prod_{l=1}^{n+1} y_l^{2b_l+1} \right) \Big|_{y_i=y_{n+1}} + \text{l.t.} \\
RHS_2 &= \square_{1,1}^y \left(\sum_{b_1+b_3+\dots+b_n=3g-4+n} [(2b_1+1)!!(2b_3-1)!! \cdots (2b_n-1)!! \langle \tau_{b_1} \tau_{b_3} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \rangle_g] \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\frac{y_2}{y_1} \right)^m y_2 y_1^3 y_1^{2b_1+1} \prod_{l=2}^n y_l^{2b_l+1} \Big) + \text{l.t.} \\
RHS_3 &= \sum_{k=3}^n \square_{1,k-1}^y \left(\sum_{b_1+\dots+b_k=k-3} (2b_1-1)!! \cdots (2b_k-1)!! \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_k} \rangle_0 (2b_1+1) y_1^2 \prod_{l=1}^k y_l^{2b_l+1} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{\bar{b}_1+b_{k+1}+\dots+b_n=3g-k-2+n} [(2\bar{b}_1-1)!!(2b_{k+1}-1)!! \cdots (2b_n-1)!! \langle \tau_{\bar{b}_1} \tau_{b_{k+1}} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \rangle_g] \right. \\
&\quad \cdot (2\bar{b}_1+1) y_1^2 \prod_{l=k+1}^n y_l^{2b_l+1} y_1^{2\bar{b}_1+1} \Big) + \text{l.t.} \\
RHS_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq a \leq g-1} \square_{1,k-1}^y \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{b_1+\dots+b_k=3a-3+k} (2b_1-1)!! \cdots (2b_k-1)!! \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_k} \rangle_a (2b_1+1) y_1^2 \prod_{l=1}^k y_l^{2b_l+1} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{\bar{b}_1+b_{k+1}+\dots+b_n=3g-k-2+n-3a} [(2\bar{b}_1-1)!!(2b_{k+1}-1)!! \cdots (2b_n-1)!! \langle \tau_{\bar{b}_1} \tau_{b_{k+1}} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \rangle_{g-a}] \right. \\
&\quad \cdot (2\bar{b}_1+1) y_1^2 \prod_{l=k+1}^n y_l^{2b_l+1} y_1^{2\bar{b}_1+1} \Big) + \text{l.t.}
\end{aligned}$$

4.2. Picking Out the Coefficients. Now, we can only consider the coefficients of monomial $y_1^{2(b_1+1)} y_2^{2b_2+1} \cdots y_n^{2b_n+1}$ ($b_1 + \cdots + b_n = 3g - 3 + n$) on both sides of equation (4.2).

By simply calculating, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
LHS &= (2b_1 + 1)!!(2b_2 - 1)!! \cdots (2b_n - 1)!! \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \rangle_g \\
RHS_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=b_1-2} (2a+1)!!(2b+1)!! \prod_{l=2}^n (2b_l - 1)!! \langle \tau_a \tau_b \tau_{b_2} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \rangle_{g-1} \\
RHS_2 &= \sum_{l=2}^n (2(b_1 + b_l - 1) + 1)!!(2b_2 - 1)!! \cdots (2b_{l-1} - 1)!!(2b_{l+1} - 1)!! \cdots (2b_n - 1)!! \\
&\quad \cdot \langle \sigma_{b_1+b_l-1} \sigma_{b_2} \cdots \sigma_{b_{l-1}} \sigma_{b_{l+1}} \cdots \sigma_{b_n} \rangle_g \\
RTS_{3,4} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{X \cup Y = S} \sum_{a+b=b_1-2} \sum_{g_1+g_2=g} (2a+1)!!(2b+1)!! \prod_{l=2}^n (2b_l - 1)!! \langle \tau_a \prod_{\alpha \in X} \tau_\alpha \rangle_{g_1} \langle \tau_b \prod_{\beta \in Y} \tau_\beta \rangle_{g_2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $S = \{b_2, \dots, b_n\}$. Finally, multiplying the constant $(2b_2 + 1) \cdots (2b_n + 1)$, we obtain the recursion formula as conjectured by Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde which implies the Witten conjecture

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{\tau}_{b_1} \prod_{l=2}^n \tilde{\tau}_{b_l} \rangle_g &= \sum_{l=2}^n (2b_l + 1) \langle \tilde{\tau}_{b_1+b_l-1} \prod_{k=2, k \neq l}^n \tilde{\tau}_{b_k} \rangle_g + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=b_1-2} \langle \tilde{\tau}_a \tilde{\tau}_b \prod_{l=2}^n \tilde{\tau}_{b_l} \rangle_{g-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{X \cup Y = \{b_2, \dots, b_n\}} \sum_{a+b=b_1-2, g_1+g_2=g} \langle \tilde{\tau}_a \prod_{\alpha \in X} \tilde{\tau}_\alpha \rangle_{g_1} \langle \tilde{\tau}_b \prod_{\beta \in Y} \tilde{\tau}_\beta \rangle_{g_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\tau}_{b_l} = [(2b_l + 1)!!] \tau_{b_l}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Dijkgraaf. *Intersection Theory, Integrable Hierarchies and Topological Field Theory*, New symmetry principles in quantum field theory (Cargèse, 1991), 95-158, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., 295, Plenum, New York, 1992.
- [2] T. Ekedahl, S. Lando, M. Shapiro, A. Vainshtein. *Hurwitz numbers and intersections on moduli spaces of curves*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), 297-327.
- [3] I.P. Goulden, D. M. Jackson and R. Vakil. *A short proof of λ_g conjecture without Gromov-Witten theory: Hurwitz theory and the moduli of curves*, preprint: math.AG/0604297.
- [4] M. Kazarian, S. Lando. *An algebro-geometric proof of Witten's conjecture*, MPIM-preprint, 2005-55.
- [5] Y.-S. Kim, K. Liu. *A simple proof of Witten conjecture through localization*, preprint: math.AG/0508384.
- [6] M. Kontsevich. *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*, Comm. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), no. 1, 1-23.
- [7] A.M. Li, G. Zhao, Q. Zheng. *The number of ramified coverings of a Riemann surface by Riemann surface*, Comm.Math.Phys. **213** (2000), 685-696.
- [8] J. Li. *Stable Morphisms to singular schemes and relative stable morphisms*, J. Diff. Geom. **57** (2001), 509-578.
- [9] Y. Li. *Some Results of Mariño-Vafa Formula*, math.AG/0601167. (to be published on Math. Res. Lett. Volume 13, Issue 6 (2006))
- [10] C.-C. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou. *A proof of a conjecture of Mariño-Vafa on Hodge Integrals*, J. Differential Geom. **65** (2003), 289-340.
- [11] _____. *Mariño-Vafa formula and Hodge integral identities*, J.Algebraic Geom. **15** (2006), 379-398.
- [12] M. Mirzakhani. *Simple geodesics and Weil-Petersson volumes of moduli spaces of bordered Riemann surfaces*, preprint, 2003.

- [13] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande. *Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz numbers, and Matrix models, I*, preprint: math.AG/0101147
- [14] E. Witten. *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Surveys in differential geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1990), 243-310, Lehigh Univ., Bethlehem, PA, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES
E-mail address: chenlin@math.ucla.edu

CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJING UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, CHINA
E-mail address: yili@cms.zju.edu.cn

CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, CHINA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES
E-mail address: liu@math.ucla.edu, liu@cms.zju.edu.cn